

WEEK 2 - G -LINEAR MAPS

This week, we cover Section 3.1 from the book together with the following.

Definition 1. Let V be a representation of a group G . A *subrepresentation* of V is a G -invariant subspace W of V . A subrepresentation is itself a representation, where the map $G \times W \rightarrow W$ is the restriction of the map $G \times V \rightarrow V$ to $G \times W$. \blacklozenge

Definition 2. Let V be a subrepresentation of a representation V of G . Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} G \times V/W &\rightarrow V/W \\ (g, v + W) &\mapsto g \cdot v + W \end{aligned}$$

turns V/W into a representation of G . This representation is called the *quotient* of V by W . \blacklozenge

Definition 3. Let V, W be representations of a group G . A G -linear map from V to W is a map $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ that is

- linear, so $\varphi(\lambda v + \mu v') = \lambda\varphi(v) + \mu\varphi(v')$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v, v' \in V$.
- G -equivariant, this means that $\varphi(g \cdot v) = g \cdot \varphi(v)$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in V$. \blacklozenge

Proposition 4. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a G -linear map. Then $\ker(\varphi)$ is a subrepresentation of V and $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a subrepresentation of W .

Proof. The set $\ker(\varphi)$ is a subspace of V . For all $g \in G$ and $v \in \ker(\varphi)$, we have $\varphi(g \cdot v) = g \cdot \varphi(v) = g \cdot 0 = 0$ and so $g \cdot v \in \ker(\varphi)$. So $\ker(\varphi)$ is also G -invariant. So it is a subrepresentation of V . The set $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a subspace of W . For all $g \in G$ and $w \in \text{im}(\varphi)$, we have $g \cdot w = g \cdot \varphi(v) = \varphi(g \cdot v) \in \text{im}(\varphi)$ for some $v \in V$. So $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is also G -invariant. So it is a subrepresentation of W . \square

Example 5. Let V_{triv} be the representation of S_n on \mathbb{C} defined by

$$\sigma \cdot x = x$$

for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Let V_{alt} be the representation of S_n on \mathbb{C} defined by

$$\sigma \cdot x = \text{sign}(\sigma)x$$

for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Let V_{std} be the representation of S_n on \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$\sigma \cdot (v_1, \dots, v_n) = (v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$$

for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

- (1) The only G -linear map $V_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow V_{\text{alt}}$ is the map 0.
- (2) The map $V_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow V_{\text{std}}, x \mapsto (x, \dots, x)$ is G -linear.
- (3) The map $V_{\text{std}} \rightarrow V_{\text{triv}}, (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_1 + \dots + v_n$ is G -linear. \spadesuit

Definition 6. Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism and let V be a representation of G . Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} H \times V &\rightarrow V \\ (h, v) &\mapsto f(h) \cdot v \end{aligned}$$

turns V into a representation of H . \blacklozenge

Definition 7. Let V be a representation of G and W a representation of H . Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} (G \times H) \times (V \otimes W) &\rightarrow V \otimes W \\ \left((g, h), \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot (v_i \otimes w_i) \right) &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot (g \cdot v_i \otimes h \cdot w_i) \end{aligned}$$

turns $V \otimes W$ into a representation of $G \times H$. When $G = H$, we can use the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} G &\rightarrow G \times G \\ g &\mapsto (g, g) \end{aligned}$$

to get a representation of G . \blacklozenge

Example 8. Let us study the case where $G = \{e\}$. In this case, every vector space V is a representation of G in a unique way. Namely $e \cdot v = v$ for all $v \in V$. Any subspace of V is a subrepresentation and any linear map is also G -linear. So we see that a representation is irreducible if and only if it has dimension 1. Hence, every irreducible representation is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . We have the following fundamental result:

- (1) Every finite-dimensional vector space is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\oplus n}$ for some unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (2) Every linear map $\mathbb{C}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\oplus m}$ is of the form

$$v \mapsto Av$$

for some matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Conversely, for all matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus n} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\oplus m} \\ v &\mapsto Av \end{aligned}$$

is linear.

- (3) Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ be a basis of V . Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus n} &\rightarrow V \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto x_1v_1 + \dots + x_nv_n \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. ♠

The goal of this course is to get the same results for any finite group G .

- (1) We want to find representations V_1, \dots, V_k such that every representation of G is isomorphic to

$$V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k}$$

for some unique $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$.

- (2) We want to understand the G -linear maps between representations of this form.
- (3) Given a representation V , we want to be able to determine a_1, \dots, a_k such that

$$V \cong V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k}$$

(and maybe even write down the isomorphism).

Let's start with (2).

Lemma 9 (Schur's Lemma).

- (1) Let V, W be irreducible representations of a group G and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a G -linear map. Then either $\varphi = 0$ or φ is an isomorphism.
- (2) Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of a group G . Then every G -linear map $V \rightarrow V$ is of the form $v \mapsto \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (and every map of this form is G -linear).

Proof. (1) Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a G -linear map. Then $\ker(\varphi)$ is a subrepresentation of V . Since V is irreducible, either $\ker(\varphi) = V$ or $\ker(\varphi) = 0$. In the first case, we have $\varphi = 0$ and we are done. Assume the second case. Next note that $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a subrepresentation of W . Since W is irreducible, either $\text{im}(\varphi) = 0$ or $\text{im}(\varphi) = W$. In the first case, we have $\varphi = 0$ and we are done. Assume that second case. Now φ is both injective and surjective. So it is an isomorphism.

(2) Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ be a G -linear map. Then φ has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with corresponding eigenvector $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Define $\psi: V \rightarrow V$ by $\psi(v) := \varphi(v) - \lambda v$ for all $v \in V$. One can check that ψ is a G -linear map. So by part (1), we know that $\psi = 0$ or ψ is an isomorphism. Note that $\psi(w) = \varphi(w) - \lambda w = 0$. So ψ cannot be an isomorphism. So $\psi = 0$. So $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$ for all $v \in V$. \square

We get the following statement:

Proposition 10. Let G be a group and let V_1, \dots, V_k be irreducible representations of G such that $V_i \not\cong V_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then every G -linear map

$$\varphi: V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k} \rightarrow V_1^{\oplus b_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus b_k}$$

is of the form

$$(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(k)}) \mapsto (A^{(1)}v^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}v^{(k)})$$

(and any map of this form is G -linear). Here $A^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^{b_i \times a_i}$, $v^{(i)} \in V_i^{\oplus a_i}$ and

$$A^{(i)}v^{(i)} := (A_{11}^{(i)}v_1^{(i)} + \dots + A_{1a_i}^{(i)}v_{a_i}^{(i)}, \dots, A_{a_i1}^{(i)}v_1^{(i)} + \dots + A_{a_i a_i}^{(i)}v_{a_i}^{(i)})$$

Proof. To prove this, we need to show that there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{b_i} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\varphi(0, \dots, (0, \dots, v, \dots, 0), \dots, 0) = (0, \dots, (\lambda_1 v, \dots, \lambda_{b_i} v), \dots, 0)$$

for all i, j and $v \in V_i$. Here $(0, \dots, v, \dots, 0)$ is at position i and contains v at position j . Note that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &\rightarrow V_1^{\oplus b_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus b_k} \\ v &\mapsto \varphi(0, \dots, (0, \dots, v, \dots, 0), \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

is G -linear. The projection on a V_k is also G -linear. So the composition $V_i \rightarrow V_k$ is as well. If $k \neq i$, then this composition is 0. If $k = i$, this composition is $V_i \rightarrow V_i, v \mapsto \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This gives us what we want. \square

Corollary 11. *Let*

$$\varphi: V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k} \rightarrow V_1^{\oplus b_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus b_k}$$

be a G -linear map and let A_1, \dots, A_k be the associated matrices. Then the following hold:

- (1) $\ker(\varphi) \cong V_1^{\oplus \dim(\ker A_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus \dim(\ker A_k)}$
- (2) $\text{im}(\varphi) \cong V_1^{\oplus \dim(\text{im } A_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{\oplus \dim(\text{im } A_k)}$
- (3) *The map φ is an isomorphism if and only if A_1, \dots, A_k are all invertible.*