

ZAHLENTHEORIE NOTE 14

THE UNIT THEOREM

Today we are going to speak about the units in \mathcal{O}_K

K = number field, \mathcal{O}_K = ring of integers

$U_K = \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ = group of units in \mathcal{O}_K

U_K is an abelian group. Its torsion part is

$$\mu_K = \{ \text{roots of unity in } K \}$$

Prop : μ_K is finite and cyclic.

Thm [DIRICHLET'S UNIT THEOREM]

Let $r = |\{ \text{real embeddings } K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \}|$

$2s = |\{ \text{nonreal embeddings } K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \}|$

Then U_K is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $r + s - 1$.

Hence, abstractly we have that

$$U_K \cong \mu_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$$

Example: QUADRATIC FIELDS

Let d be a sqfr integer. Recall that

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right] & d \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

So an element in \mathcal{O}_K is of the form

$$m + n\sqrt{d} \text{ or } m + n\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and these are units if and only if their norm is ± 1 (recall: $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is invertible

$$\Leftrightarrow N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is invertible i.o. } \pm 1)$$

Computing the norm we get

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m + n\sqrt{d}) = m^2 - n^2d = \pm 1 \quad (d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4})$$

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(m + n\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right) = \left(m + \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}d = \pm 1 \quad (d \equiv 1 \pmod{4})$$

Let's see what does the theorem tells us:

- We first look at μ_K . To compute the roots of unity in K , observe that if $\zeta_n \in K$ is a root of unity of order $n \geq 3$ (otherwise $\zeta_n = \pm 1$), then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq K$ so that $\varphi(n) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] \mid [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ hence $\varphi(n) = 1, 2$. Since $n \geq 3$, it must be that $\varphi(n) = 2$ and then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Moreover $\varphi(n) = 2$ if and only if $n = 3, 4, 6$ and in those cases we get the fields

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) = \mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \quad \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$$

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega_3)$$

Hence we get

$$M_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = \begin{cases} \langle i \rangle & \text{if } d = -1 \\ \langle \sqrt{d} \rangle & \text{if } d = -3 \\ \pm 1 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Now we look at the torsion-free part:

($d < 0$) in this case we have $r = 0, s = 1$ hence

$$U_k \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1} \times M_k = M_k$$

so the group is cyclic and we have already classified it before. Notice that in particular the group U_k is finite, which was clear from the equations with the norm of before

($d > 0$) in this case we have $r = 2, s = 0$.

Hence

$$U_k = \langle \pm 1 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}$$

hence there is a unit $u \in \mathcal{O}_k^*$ s.t.

$$U_k = \{ \pm u^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

This is called a FUNDAMENTAL UNIT.

In particular, this tells us that the equations ... with the norm of before have infinitely many

with the number of solutions, which is a priori not clear. □

Now we give the proofs of the results.

The first one is actually easy:

Lemma: μ_K is finite.

proof: suppose $\zeta_n \in \mu_K$ is a primitive root of unity. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq K$, so that

$$\varphi(n) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] \mid [K : \mathbb{Q}]$$

Hence K can contain only those primitive n -th roots of unity s.t. $\varphi(n) \mid [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, and these are a finite number. □

Lemma: Let K be a field and $G \subseteq K^\times$ a finite multiplicative subgroup. Then G is cyclic.

proof: see at the end of the notes for a proof.

proof of Prop: since μ_K is a finite subgroup of K^\times , it is cyclic. □

Dirichlet's Theorem is a more involved.

Lemma: Fix m, M integers

$$K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_s \\ \bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2, \dots, \bar{\tau}_s \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \text{non-real embeddings} \\ K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Recall from last time the map

$$\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$$

$$\alpha \longmapsto (\rho_1(\alpha), \dots, \rho_r(\alpha), \tau_1(\alpha), \dots, \tau_s(\alpha))$$

We consider now the map

$$L: K^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$$

$$\alpha \longmapsto (\log |\rho_1(\alpha)|, \dots, \log |\rho_r(\alpha)|, \log |\tau_1(\alpha)|, \dots)$$

We make some observations:

- L is well defined: since $\alpha \neq 0$, $\rho_i(\alpha) \neq 0$, $\tau_j(\alpha) \neq 0$.
- L is a homomorphism of groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\rho_i(\alpha\beta)| &= \log |\rho_i(\alpha)\rho_i(\beta)| \\ &= \log |\rho_i(\alpha)| + \log |\rho_i(\beta)| \end{aligned}$$

and the same for σ_j .

- $\text{Ker } L = \mu_K$: $\alpha \in \text{Ker } L$ if and only if for each conjugate α' it holds that

$$\log |\alpha'| = 0, \text{ i.e. } |\alpha'| = 1. \text{ By the previous corollary, this means that } \alpha \in \mu_K.$$

Conversely, this also proves that $\mu_K \subseteq \text{Ker } L$.

- Let $H = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ u_1, \dots, u_s \end{array} \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s \mid \sum x_i + 2 \sum y_j = 0 \right\}$

Then $L(U_k) \subseteq H$: if $\alpha \in U_k$, then

$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = 1$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| &= \left| \prod p_i(\alpha) \prod \sigma_j(\alpha) \overline{\sigma_j(\alpha)} \right| \\ &= \prod |p_i(\alpha)| \cdot \prod |\sigma_j(\alpha)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$0 = \log 1 = \sum \log |p_i(\alpha)| + 2 \sum \log |\sigma_j(\alpha)|$$

So, this shows that $L(U_k) \subseteq H$ is a subgroup of $H \cong \mathbb{R}^{r+s-1}$. Now we show that this is actually a lattice

Lemma: $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ subgroup. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on \mathbb{R}^n . Then Λ is a lattice

if and only if the set

$$\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \|\lambda\| \leq c\}$$

is finite for any $c > 0$.

proof: Λ is a lattice if and only if it is discrete.

Suppose that Λ satisfies the above condition but it is not discrete. Then there is a point $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ that is not open: hence, λ_0 is an accumulation point for Λ

so that in each neighborhood of λ_0 there are infinitely many elements of $\mathbb{L} \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$.

By translation by $-\lambda_0$, we see that this is true also of 0 . But this is a contradiction.

The other direction is an easy exercise. \square

Prop: The image $L(U) \subseteq H$ is a lattice

proof: it is enough to show that $L(U_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ is a lattice. Consider the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm on \mathbb{R}^{r+s} :

and let $C > 0$: we want to prove that the set

$$\{\alpha \in U_k \mid \|L(\alpha)\|_\infty \leq C\}$$

is finite. Observe that if $\|L(\alpha)\|_\infty \leq C$ then for each conjugate α' of α we have

$$\log|\alpha| \leq C$$

hence

$$|\alpha| \leq e^C$$

so that this set is finite by our proposition of before (observe that the degree is bounded by $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$). \square

Cor: U_k is finitely generated, and

$$U_k \cong \mu_k \times L(U_k)$$

proof: since $L(U_k)$ is a lattice, it is finitely generated.

Moreover, we have a surjective homomorphism

$U_k \twoheadrightarrow L(U_k)$ with kernel μ_k . Then let e_1, \dots, e_r be a basis of $L(U_k)$ and $u_1, \dots, u_r \in U_k$ units s.t. $L(u_i) = e_i$

Show as an exercise that $L(U_k)$ is generated by M_k and u_1, \dots, u_n and this gives an isomorphism $U_k \cong M_k \times L(U_k)$. \square

The last step to prove the theorem is that $L(U_k) \subseteq H$ is a lattice of rank $r+s-1$, i.e. a full lattice.

To prove this we need a way to build units in U_k .

We look again at the space $V = \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$

$$\sigma: \mathcal{O}_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s = V$$

$$\alpha \longmapsto (p_1(\alpha), \dots, p_r(\alpha), z_1(\alpha), \dots, z_s(\alpha))$$

On V we define the norm map

$$N(x_1, \dots, x_r, z_1, \dots, z_s) := \prod x_i \cdot \prod z_j \bar{z}_j$$

This is clearly continuous, and

$$|N(\sigma(\gamma))| = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)| \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

Furthermore, for two $v, w \in V$ we denote by $v \cdot w$ the coordinate-wise multiplication.

Key

Lemma: There is a compact subset $T' \subseteq V$ such that for every $v \in V$, $|N(v)| = 1$, there is an unit $\varepsilon \in U_k$ s.t.

$$v \cdot \sigma(\varepsilon) \in T'$$

We claim that the vectors

$L(\varepsilon_1), L(\varepsilon_2), \dots, L(\varepsilon_{r+s-1}) \subseteq H$
 are linearly independent. Let's write these
 vectors in column form as

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} L(\varepsilon_1) & L(\varepsilon_2) & \dots & L(\varepsilon_{r+s-1}) \\ \hline a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1,r+s-1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2,r+s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{r+s-1,1} & a_{r+s-1,2} & \dots & a_{r+s-1,r+s-1} \\ a_{r+s,1} & a_{r+s,2} & \dots & a_{r+s,r+s-1} \end{array}$$

We need to prove that this matrix has rank
 $r+s-1$. We know that:

- $a_{ij} < 0$ for $i \neq j$
- $\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} + \sum_{i=r+1}^{s-1} 2a_{r+i,j} + 2a_{r+s,j} = 0$ (because $L(\varepsilon_j) \in H$)

hence $\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} 2a_{r+i,j} = -2a_{r+s,j} > 0$

Then it follows that the matrix has maximal
 rank (Exercise). □

Now we need to prove the Key Lemma:

proof of Key Lemma: we consider for each $\sigma \in V$
 s.t. $|N(\sigma)| = 1$ the set
 $\{ \dots \}$

$$v \cdot \sigma(\cup_k) = \frac{1}{2} v \cdot (v_1 + \dots + v_k)$$

One can show that this is also a lattice and that the volume of a fundamental parallelepiped is

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}$$

Now, let $T \subseteq V$ be one compact, convex subset symmetric w.r.t. the origin and with volume larger than $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}$.

Minkowski's convex body theorem tells us that there is a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $\gamma \neq 0$ s.t. $v \cdot \sigma(\gamma) \in T$.

Now, observe that since T is compact and N is continuous, there is a $M > 0$ s.t. $|N(w)| \leq M$ $\forall w \in T$. In particular, if $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k$ and $v \cdot \sigma(\gamma) \in T$ then

$$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)| = |N(\sigma(\gamma))| = |N(v \cdot \sigma(\gamma))| \leq M$$

Hence, all the ideals in the set

$$\{ \gamma \cdot \mathcal{O}_k \mid v \cdot \sigma(\gamma) \in T \text{ for a certain } v, |N(v)| = 1 \}$$

have numerical norm $\|I\| = |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)| \leq M$ so that the set is finite. Let

$$\gamma_1 \mathcal{O}_k, \gamma_2 \mathcal{O}_k, \dots, \gamma_t \mathcal{O}_k \text{ be its elements.}$$

and let

$$T' = \sigma(\gamma_1^{-1})T \cup \dots \cup \sigma(\gamma_t^{-1})T$$

this will be the compact set that we want. Indeed for any $v \in U$ s.t. $|N(v)| = 1$ we know that there is a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t. $v \cdot \sigma(\gamma) \in T$. Hence $\gamma \mathcal{O}_k = \delta_i \mathcal{O}_k$ for a certain i , so that

$$\gamma = \varepsilon \gamma_i \text{ for } \varepsilon \in U_k.$$

Then

$$v \sigma(\gamma) \in T \Rightarrow v \sigma(\varepsilon) \sigma(\gamma_i) \in T \Rightarrow v \sigma(\varepsilon) \in \sigma(\gamma_i^{-1}) T. \quad \square$$

This concludes the proof of Dirichlet's theorem.

An extra Lemma not proved before:

Lemma: Let k be any field and $G \subseteq k^*$ a finite subgroup. Then G is cyclic.

proof: Let $n = |G|$. For any $d \mid n$ let $G_d = \{x \in G \mid x \text{ has order } d\}$

We claim that $|G_d| = 0$ or $|G_d| = \varphi(d)$.

Suppose that $G_d \neq \emptyset$ so there is $\alpha \in G_d$.

Now we see that

$$G_d \subseteq \{x \in G \mid x^d - 1 = 0\}$$

$$\langle \alpha \rangle \subseteq \{x \in G \mid x^d - 1 = 0\}$$

however $|\{x \in G \mid x^d - 1 = 0\}| \leq d$ because

the polynomial $x^d - 1$ can have at most

d roots in a field. However $\langle \alpha \rangle$ has d elements because α has order d , so that $\langle \alpha \rangle = \{x \in G \mid x^d = 1\}$. Hence, $G_d \subseteq \langle \alpha \rangle$ and this means that G_d consists precisely of the elements in $\langle \alpha \rangle$ of order d . Since $\langle \alpha \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ there are $\phi(d)$ of these.

Now, observe that $G = \bigcup_{d|n} G_d$, hence

$$n = |G| = \sum_{d|n} |G_d| \leq \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$$

Hence it must be that $|G_d| = \phi(d) \forall d|n$.

In particular $|G_n| = \phi(n) \neq 0$, so there is an element of order n , meaning that G is cyclic. \square