

# ZAHLENTHEORIE NOTE 10

We want to prove the following result which tells us exactly which primes ramify in an extension:

Thm: let  $K$  be a number field and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  a prime,  
 $p$  ramifies in  $\mathcal{O}_K \Leftrightarrow p \mid \Delta_K$ .

We make some remarks about this. Recall that  $p$  ramifies if and only if  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$  and one of the  $e_i$  is  $e_i \geq 2$ . On the other hand, we have the following interpretation of the discriminant  $\Delta_K$ : Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and consider the trace map

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{Q})$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \tilde{\text{Tr}}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$$

If  $T$  is the matrix representing this map w.r.t. the bases  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in  $K$

$\alpha_1^{\vee}, \dots, \alpha_n^{\vee}$  dual basis in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{Q})$

then

$$\Delta_K = \det \tilde{T}$$

We want to tie together this description of the discriminant with the ramification of  $p$ .

The key observation is the following: recall that we

prove an extension of rings  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$   
 and we can consider  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$  as a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space.

Then, we can define the TRACE of an element  
 $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$  as the trace of the multiplication by  $\bar{\alpha}$  map

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K}(\bar{\alpha}) = \text{trace} \left( \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\cdot \bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \right)$$

This is related to the previous trace as follows:

Lemma: Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be its class in  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K}(\bar{\alpha}) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p)$$

proof: Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  as

$\mathbb{Z}$ -module and let  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha_1 = a_{11}\alpha_1 + \dots + a_{1n}\alpha_n$$

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha_2 = a_{21}\alpha_1 + \dots + a_{2n}\alpha_n$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha_n = a_{n1}\alpha_1 + \dots + a_{nn}\alpha_n$$

(observe that  
 $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  because  
 $\alpha, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ )

so that the matrix representing  $K \xrightarrow{\cdot \alpha} K$  is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$

Now, let  $\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n$  be the classes of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ .  
These are a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$  as a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module and

$$\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}_1 = \bar{\alpha}_{11} \bar{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_{1n} \bar{\alpha}_n$$

$$\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}_n = \bar{\alpha}_{n1} \bar{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_{nn} \bar{\alpha}_n$$

so that the matrix representing  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\cdot a} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$  is

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{11} & \bar{\alpha}_{12} & \cdots \\ \bar{\alpha}_{21} & \bar{\alpha}_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = A \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p)$$

Hence

$$\text{trace}(\bar{A}) \equiv \text{trace}(A) \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p) \quad \square$$

In the same way as before, we get the map

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K}: \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

Moreover, if  $\bar{T}$  is the matrix representing this linear map w.r.t. the bases:  $\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n$   
 $\bar{\alpha}_1^v, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n^v$

Then

$$\bar{T} = (\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K}(\bar{\alpha}_i \bar{\alpha}_j)) = (\text{Tr}_{K/R}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) = T \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p)$$

So that

$$\det \bar{T} \equiv \Delta_K \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p)$$

With this, we can prove the theorem:

....., m, w, p, ..., u, ...

proof of Theorem : We prove that

$$p \mid \Delta_K \Leftrightarrow \Delta_K = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \det \bar{T} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K} : \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is not an isomorphism

Hence we need to prove that

$p$  ramifies  $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K}$  is not an isomorphism

Now, suppose that  $p\mathcal{O}_K = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_K/p_r^{e_r}$$

and it is easy to see (check explicitly) that

the trace map  $\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K}$  also splits as the direct

sum

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p_i^{e_i}}$$

where  $\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p_i^{e_i}} : \mathcal{O}_K/p_i^{e_i} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{O}_K/p_i^{e_i}, \mathbb{F}_p)$

is the analogous trace map defined on the

ring extension  $\mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K/p_i^{e_i}$ .

Now we prove our implications:

( $\Rightarrow$ ) suppose that  $p$  ramifies and w.l.o.g.  $e_1 \geq 2$

Then we will prove that the map

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1}} : \mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is not an isomorphism. Indeed, let  $\alpha \in p_1, \alpha \notin p_1^{e_1}$

Then  $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1}$  and we claim that

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p_1^{e_1}}(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$$

or, equivalently,  $\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbb{F}_1, P_1}(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}) = 0$  for every  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Observe that if  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\alpha\beta \in P_1$ , hence  $(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta})^{e_1} = 0$ . This means that the multiplication map

$(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}) : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/P_1$  is nilpotent

hence, it has trace zero by linear algebra.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $p$  does not ramify, then we want to prove that each map

$\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/P_1}$  is an isomorphism

However, in this case  $\mathcal{O}_K/P_1$  is a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , hence it is separable. Then we know that the trace of a separable field extension is always nondegenerate, meaning that  $\tilde{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{O}_K/P_1}$  is an isomorphism  $\square$

Cor: There are only finitely many primes which ramify in  $K$ .

Example: (1) QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  be squarefree

•  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ : we know that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = 4d$$

In particular, we see that 2 always ramifies.

This is written explicitly in the previous notes.

- $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ : we know that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)} = d$$

Hence 2 never ramifies. We saw this explicitly in the previous notes.

## (2) CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS

$p = \text{odd prime}$ . Then we know that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}} p^{p-2}$$

hence the only prime that ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  is  $p$  itself.

In general, we know that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \mid n^{\varphi(n)}$$

Hence, if  $p$  ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , then  $p \mid n$ .

From the last exercise sheet, we already know that  $p$  ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \Leftrightarrow p \mid n$ .

□